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Abstract
This study is one of the few empirical studies to examine students’ acceptance of a web-based learning system (WLS). By incorporating intrinsic motivator into the technology acceptance model, our model attempts to explain students’ behavioral intention in using the system from a motivational perspective. Unlike most studies using subjects from developed countries, this study aims to extend knowledge by examining WLS adoption and usage behavior in a developing country (China) and then compares with findings of the same examination using Canadian subjects. The results show that both perceived usefulness and enjoyment have significant impact on students’ intention to use WLS in two groups. However, in contrast to Chinese subjects, Canadian subjects do not think ease of use has impact on their intention to use WLS. Implications of this study are important for both researchers and practitioners, especially for institutions of higher education, planning to adopt WLS in the two countries.
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Introduction
It is widely accepted today that the impact of Internet technologies is significant on every aspect of people’s life. This impact is felt in the ever increasing pace of transformation of the higher education sector, while more and more institutions are using the internet and web technologies in the classroom as part of the learning environment (Piccoli, Ahmad, & Ives, 2001). The advantages of internet-based learning mediums have been widely reported, recognized and accepted. Research suggests that technology-mediated learning environments allow for more study flexibility and broader accessibility (Lee, Cheung, & Chen, 2005), may improve students’ performance (Alavi, 1994) and corresponding evaluation of their learning experiences (Hiltz, 1995), and lead to higher computer self-efficacy (Piccoli et al., 2001). In addition, it provides benefits to academic institutions in terms of cost reductions and increased revenues (Saadé & Bahli, 2005) and has the potential of making learning more student-centered (Cardler, 1997).

In general, like any information systems, user acceptance and usage are important primary measures of system success (DeLone & McLean, 1992). Without consideration of student involvement...
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and participation, even the best developed system cannot be successful (Catchpole, 1993). Moreover, a successful web-based learning system should be widely adopted with active participation from students. It is therefore important to identify, study and better understand the variables that influence learning in virtual environments and which lead to significant impact on student satisfaction, performance and adoption. Considering the literature in the past decade, the technology acceptance model (TAM), which is an adaptation of theory of reasoned action (TRA), seems to be dominant in the IS literature on explaining individuals’ IT usage behavior (Lee et al., 2005; Straub, Keil, & Brenner, 1997; Taylor & Todd, 1995).

The application of TAM has targeted mostly in the use of commercial software, and recently the use of the internet/web. Very few studies however, applied the TAM to the online learning context in higher education. Moreover, the viability of TAM to explain individuals’ acceptance behavior under the online learning context has been statistically confirmed in a recent study (Saadé, Nebebe, & Tan, 2007). Literature on TAM studies show that there is a dominant emphasis (of TAM) on notions of instrumentality, focusing mainly on functional or extrinsic motivational drivers (Agarwal & Karahanna, 2000). In the context of student acceptance of web-based learning system (WLS), we believe intrinsic motivators representing a student’s subjective feelings of joy, elation, pleasure, and positive holistic experience also play a critical role in explaining user acceptance and usage behavior of WLS. Researchers suggest that extrinsic and intrinsic motivators jointly determine the adoption of new technologies. Therefore, in this study, we postulate that incorporating both extrinsic (represented by perceived usefulness in TAM) and intrinsic motivation into TAM may enhance the explanation and prediction of student acceptance of WLS.

Furthermore, although many studies have examined user acceptance towards new technologies, most of them were conducted in developed countries using Western subjects, and thus on the whole may not be reflective of the adoption process in different cultural environments (Anandarajana, Igbaria, & Anakwe, 2002; Straub et al., 1997). Relatively few TAM research studies have been done in Asian, Middle Eastern, and African countries and found none that were in the context on web-based learning. There are however some studies reported in the Informing Science Institute Conferences on experiences in online learning in Arab and Chinese countries, but these studies were descriptive in nature and reporting on process alone.

It is very important that TAM (and its variations) be applied in different countries because findings from prior studies support the importance of culture as an influential factor impacting the existence and strength of relationships in theoretical models of technology acceptance behavior (Straub et al., 1997). In this study, in addition to investigating the intrinsic and extrinsic motivation constructs in TAM, we also apply the proposed research model to the culture in China. We therefore in this article compare the results between the Chinese context and the Canadian Context. While the rate of using online learning system in higher education has been steadily increasing in China for the past decade, it is necessary to conduct research to get better understanding on Chinese students’ behavior towards a WLS. Moreover, many Western universities provide distance learning programs supported by WLS in order to meet the increasing demands from Chinese education sector in recent years. How to successfully deliver these educational programs needs empirical studies to support local recommendations. In summary, the primary objective of this study is to empirically study and explain the impact of student motivation (intrinsic and extrinsic) on intentions while using a WLS.

The paper is organized as follows. The first section provides an overview of the theoretical background of the study. This is followed by a discussion of conceptual module and research hypotheses. The next section presents successively, research methodology, data analysis, and major findings of this study. Building upon these findings, practical and academic implications, as well as the limitations of the study, directions of future research are discussed.
Theoretical Background

**Technology Acceptance Model and Extrinsic Motivation**

The theoretical grounding for this research is based on the technology acceptance model (F. D. Davis, 1989). In the TAM, F. D. Davis (1989) proposes that the influence of other variables on technology acceptance is mediated by two individual beliefs: perceived ease of use (PEU) and perceived usefulness (PU). In this study, perceived ease of use refers to the degree to which a student believes that using the WLS would be free from effort, whereas perceived usefulness is defined as the degree to which a student believes that using the WLS would enhance his or her performance in the course (F. D. Davis, 1989). Furthermore, PEU and PU will influence student’s behavioral intention of using the WLS, leading to acceptance and adoption.

PU can be viewed as a measure of outcome expectations. As such, PU of the WLS can lead to better grades. Similarly, PEU can be viewed as the extent to which the student expects the WLS to be easy to use, thereby making it less cognitively overloading thereby allowing students to feel more self efficient and direct most of their attention on achieving the expected tasks (F. D. Davis, 1989; Venkatesh, 1999). In this case PEU and PU can be considered as extrinsic motivational drivers.

Numerous studies have provided considerable support to the formulation of TAM under different technology contexts (Chau, 1996). Specifically, several studies about online learning affirm the validity of the influence of perceived ease of use and usefulness on student’s intention. Saadé and Bahli (2005), for example, found that perceived usefulness of an internet-based learning system has a significant impact on behavioral intention, and that perceived ease of use is a predictor of perceived usefulness and intentions. Similar findings were replicated by Lee et al. (2005) in a study of investigating roles of motivation on intentions to use an internet-based learning medium; and by Yi & Hwang (2003) in a study to extend the TAM in the context of a web-based class management system.

**Intrinsic Motivation**

Motivation theorists argue that motivation formulates the mechanism of human behavior and action. When a person is unmotivated, she/he would feel no impetus or inspiration to act. On the other hand, someone, who is energized or engaged on some activities toward an end, is considered motivated. It is not uncommon to view motivation as a unitary phenomenon in most theories of motivation, one that differentiates each other in levels. However, some researchers suggest that people have not only different amount, but also different orientations of motivation. They distinguish motivation to two broad classes, intrinsic and extrinsic motivation, according to the different reasons or goals to perform an action (Deci, 1975). Extrinsic motivation refers to the performance of an activity because it leads to instrumental rewards that are distinct from the activity itself (addressed in the previous section/paragraph). Intrinsic motivation refers to the performance of an activity for its inherent interests and enjoyment other than a separable outcome.

The TAM mainly emphasizes extrinsic perspective but lacks factors related to human and social change processes (Lee et al., 2005). With this dichotomy, only fairly recently have researchers begun to address the role of intrinsic motivation in studies in order to respond to the need of including more non-instrumental factors to TAM to provide broader view and a better explanation of IT adoption (e.g. Agarwal & Karahanna, 2000; Heijden, 2003; Hsu & Lu, 2004; Moon & Kim, 2001; Saadé & Bahli, 2005). Although the TAM emphasizes student behavior towards using WLS, it does not capture student’s experiences while using the WLS (Lee et al., 2001). The capturing of intrinsic motivation constructs such as enjoyment while using the IT were found to be significant predictors of outcomes related to WLS use and acceptance (Ghani & Deshpande, 2001).
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Although the TAM includes PU and PEU as extrinsic motivational drivers to explain acceptance, in the context of WLS, there is a need to consider a more complete view of motivation and expand the TAM to include intrinsic motivation (Saadé, 2007).

Research Model and Hypotheses

Figure 1 represents the theoretical model used in this study. This model integrates the motivational perspective into the TAM, and includes an intrinsic motivator (enjoyment) as a salient determinant of student intention to use WLS.

Perceived usefulness is defined as a student’s expectation that using a particular system will result in improved course performance (F. D. Davis, 1989). From the motivational perspective, since an individual’s behavior comes from his/her expectation on performance outcome, it represents an extrinsic factor (F. D. Davis, Bagozzi, & Warshaw, 1992). With the WLS students can access and download course materials freely and get online help from lecturers and other users in different locations via collaborative and community-building activities. Thus, it could be expected that students would believe in a use-performance relationship with the WLS, believing that if they use the WLS, their performance in the course would improve. We therefore hypothesize:

**H1: Perceived usefulness will have a positive influence on behavioral intention**

Based on Bandura’s Social Cognitive Theory (1986), PEU inherits the notion of self-efficacy by capturing student’s beliefs about their ability to successfully carry out a particular behavior. At the same time, due to the reduced cognitive burden (as a result of perceived ease of use) students may expect the instrumental award (PU) (F. D. Davis et al., 1992) of carrying out that behavior as elaborated in the Social Cognitive Theory (F. D. Davis, 1989; S. Davis & Wiedenbeck, 2001). Consistent with the theoretical basis of TAM, we test the following hypothesis:

**H2: Perceived ease of use will have a positive influence on perceived usefulness**

Indeed, when students’ assessment of their interaction with the system is relatively free of cognitive burden, they would logically have the intention to spend their time and effort to carry out the learning tasks. We thus hypothesize that:

**H3: Perceived ease of use will have a positive influence on behavioral intention**

Based on the intrinsic motivation theory, students would spend more time and efforts on a task, when this task raises a high level of enjoyment. In this study we use the enjoyment construct to conceptualize students’ intrinsic motivation to use the WLS. Enjoyment refers to the extent to which the activity of using the computer is perceived to be enjoyable in its own right, apart from any performance consequences that may be anticipated (F. D. Davis et al., 1992). Venkatesh, Speier, and Morris (2002) suggest that intrinsically motivated computer users may tend to lower
the perception of the difficulty associated with using a new technology, since enjoyment decreases the perception of effort on the use. Consistent with prior studies, we believe the relationship between enjoyment and behavioral intention should exhibit significant strength. Thus:

**H4: Enjoyment has positive influence on behavioral intention of the WLS usage**

### Research Method

#### Data Collection

A survey methodology approach was followed in this study. A survey was administered at two universities, one in China and the other in Canada. In China, second year students of a business college taking a core course were asked to use a simple WLS to help them understand content material and rehearse for questions that may appear in the midterm and final exams. In Canada, undergraduate students of a business school in an introductory management information systems course were asked to use a similar online learning system to assist their study and assignments of an entry level IS course. Both of the WLSs were developed to be used via the web and students were able to use the learning tool anywhere, anytime. A total of 362 users in Canada and 120 students in China had completed the survey. These sets comprised the final sample used for data analysis.

#### Instruments

All the items used to measure the constructs were adapted from prior studies with modification to fit the specific context of the WLS. Both PU and PEU are measured by four items from F. D. Davis (1989), respectively, while two items are used to measure behavioral intention (Ajzen & Fishbein, 1980). Enjoyment is measured using the scale adapted from F. D. Davis et al. (1992). All items used a five-point Likert-type scale with anchors from “Strongly disagree” to “Strongly agree” (see Appendix).

#### Data Analysis

The partial least-squares (PLS) approach to multiple indicator structural equation analysis was used to assess the relationships between the constructs together with the predictive power of the research model. The PLS approach can be used for theory confirmation and to suggest where relationships might exist. For prediction, the PLS approach is more suitable than others, such as LISREL and EQS, because it assumes that all the measured variance in the study were to be explained. In behavioral research of the MIS field, PLS has been wholeheartedly accepted as an important statistical method (Goodhue, Lewis, & Thompson, 2006). Accordingly, partial least squares via PLS-Graph (Chin, 2006) was used to analyze the datasets.

#### Results

We separately analyzed the two datasets in a two-step analytical procedure. We first examined the measurement model, and then the structural model. The measurement model using PLS was assessed in terms of convergent validity, internal consistency, and discriminant validity. The structural model and hypotheses were investigated by examining the path coefficients represented as standardized betas. The explained variance in the dependent constructs was assessed as an indication of the overall predictive strength of the model.
The Measurement Model

Convergent validity represents the extent to which the indicators of a measurement are theoretically related and should correlate highly (Gefen & Straub, 2005). A composite reliability of 0.7 or above and an average variance extracted (AVE) of greater than 0.7 are acceptable (Fornell & Larcker, 1981). In addition, we introduced Cronbach’s alpha to test reliability. All statistical measures were calculated for both datasets separately, since the data for each group is to be analyzed separately, and then compared. Table 1 summarizes the above parameters in our models for each group. All the measures fulfill the recommended levels, with the composite reliabilities higher than 0.9, AVE ranges from 0.70 to 0.89. In both cases, alpha was greater than 0.7 for all constructs, thus demonstrating reliability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Composite Reliability</th>
<th>AVE</th>
<th>Cronbach Alpha</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>China (CN)</td>
<td>CN</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEU</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discriminant validity is the extent to which the measure is not a reflection of some other variable. Discriminant validity is validated, when two thing happen: (1) the squared root of the average variance extracted for each construct is higher than the correlation between it and all other constructs (Fornell & Larcker, 1981); and (2) if items have factor loading greater than 0.5 on their own construct, and much less than their loading on other constructs. When both cases occur then discriminant validity is supported (Gefen & Straub, 2005). As summarized in Table 2 and Table 3, all the measures are higher than the recommended levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>PU</th>
<th>PEU</th>
<th>EN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BI</td>
<td>0.91/0.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU</td>
<td>0.80/0.45</td>
<td>0.88/0.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEU</td>
<td>0.73/0.27</td>
<td>0.73/0.51</td>
<td>0.84/0.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN</td>
<td>0.78/0.45</td>
<td>0.83/0.61</td>
<td>0.73/0.41</td>
<td>0.89/0.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>China</th>
<th>Canada</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BI1</td>
<td>0.9186</td>
<td>0.9444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI2</td>
<td>0.9008</td>
<td>0.9246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU1</td>
<td>0.8267</td>
<td>0.8974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU2</td>
<td>0.8735</td>
<td>0.9035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU3</td>
<td>0.877</td>
<td>0.922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU4</td>
<td>0.8901</td>
<td>0.887</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Structural Model

The path coefficients from the PLS analysis are shown in Figure 2. As can be seen, the positive correlations between the constructs suggest that there are grounds for expecting significant effects between them. PEU demonstrated direct, statistically significant, and positive effects on PU for both the China and Canada groups (path=0.726 and 0.511, p<0.001), respectively. As hypothesized, there is a strong positive relationship between PU and BI (path=0.376 and 0.271, p<0.01) in both groups. The divergences between two datasets exist when we calculate the influence of PEU on BI. It was found that PEU influences BI for the China group (path=0.232, p<0.05), but not for the Canada group (path=0.012, p=n.s). However, the influence of enjoyment on user’s BI for using WLS is weaker in China group (path=0.303, p<0.05) than in Canada group (path=0.282, p<0.001). For China group, 70.5% of the variance in BI was explained by models variables, 52.7% of the variance in PU is explained. For Canada group, both the percentages of the variance explained of BI and PU are much lower than those of China group, 25.2% and 26.1%, respectively.

![Figure 2. Results of proposed research model](image)

Conclusions

Motivated by a need to understand the underlying determinants of student adoption of WLS, our study included a motivational perspective into TAM, and postulated PU (extrinsic motivator) and Enjoyment (intrinsic motivator) as the key drivers affecting students’ acceptance behavior of WLS. For both subjects, the measurement model was confirmed with adequate convergent and discriminant validity with respect to the measurement of all the variables in the research model. In the structural model, all path coefficients were found statistically significant and strong, except
the relationship between PEOU and BI in Canada group. On the other hand, it influences behavioral intention through PU. The results showed that PU and enjoyment have significant impact on student intention to use WLS.

The results of the empirical analysis provided many interesting insights and suggestions. First, the results indicated that (contrary to conventional prediction from TAM) PEU does not posit a significant direct effect on behavioral intention in Canada group but in China group. This observation is not sparse in recent studies conducted in developed countries (Saadé, 2003; Teo, Lim, & Laia, 1999). For students in these countries, long time internet use makes them familiar to internet and web technologies, and thus learning to use the web-based study tools is generally considered easy. Ease of using the WLS has never really been a primary concern in their mind. Second, for both groups, the motivational factor seems to play an important role in explaining student acceptance of WLS. Individuals’ intention to use the system increases, when they experience fun and enjoyment in the interaction with the WLS. Finally, compared with results of Canada group, PU and PEU in China group explained higher percent of variance in intention to use and have higher strength and significance on the relationships.

This study is one of the few attempts to investigate student acceptance of web-based learning environment. The use of student sample to measure an application designed for learning makes this study have a good fit between target technology and the study context. In addition, comparisons with the findings of different cultural samples help us better understand students’ acceptance behavior. On the other side, the findings of this research must be considered in light of its limitations. First, the specific use of the WLS to practice certain study content would limit the user’s value of the system. The student’s value of the system largely depended on the importance individual students place on the task in preparing for their finals. Second, because of its specificity in terms of technology and culture, caution must be taken in generalizing and applying the results to other technologies and culture environments.

This article is motivated by a need to get a better understanding of behaviors towards WLS. The findings demonstrate the essential value of Enjoyment and PU toward WLS in student acceptance behavior. From a practical perspective, this study contributes a theory-based empirical test to future WLS development. Chinese institutions can use the findings to understand determinants that make students accept WLS for better performance in their studies. Western universities who wish to internationalize their programs could apply these to their distance learning program proposals.
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### Appendix: Scales and Items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Usefulness (PU)</td>
<td>PU1</td>
<td>Using the web-based learning system would improve my performance in the course</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PU2</td>
<td>Using the web-based learning system in the course would increase my productivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PU3</td>
<td>Using the web-based learning system would enhance my effectiveness in the course</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PU4</td>
<td>I would find the web-based learning system useful in study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Ease of Use (PEU)</td>
<td>PEU1</td>
<td>Learning to operate the web-based learning system would be easy for me</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PEU2</td>
<td>I would find it easy to get the web-based learning system to do what I want it to do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PEU3</td>
<td>It would be easy for me to become skillful at using the web-based learning system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PEU4</td>
<td>I would find the web-based learning system easy to use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral Intention (BI)</td>
<td>BI1</td>
<td>I intend to take more courses using the web-based learning system in the future.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BI2</td>
<td>I intend to show others this web-based learning system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enjoyment</td>
<td>EN1</td>
<td>I find the web-based learning system was enjoyable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EN2</td>
<td>The actual process of using the web-based learning system was pleasant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EN3</td>
<td>I had fun using the web-based learning system</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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