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Introduction
The many promises of learning objects (readily available quality instruction, reducing cost of production, personalized learning, interoperability, reusability, discoverability/accessibility, scalability, durability, content customization, and many more) have been the talk of the e-learning community in recent years. Higher education institutions have begun to capitalize on these promises by adopting, developing, and deploying learning objects in e-learning instruction.

Purpose
The purpose of this panel is to create a dialog with four major themes affecting learning objects. They are: learning object definitions, learning object metadata, learning object instructional design, and learning object repositories.

Themes
Learning Object – The Many Definitions
There are many definitions of learning objects. These definitions, in general, argue about learning object’s purpose and whether a learning object is digital only or a combination of digital and non-digital (Cisco Systems, 2001; Downes, 2003; Friesen, 2001; IEEE, 2000; Quinn & Hobbs, 2000; Sosteric & Hesemeier, 2002; Wiley, 2000). The panel will attempt to provide a comprehensive evaluation of these definitions.

Learning Object Metadata
Metadata is information about information. Metadata records the characteristics of learning object’s content. Organizations such as ADL (http://www.adlnet.org/), CanCore (http://www.cancore.ca/indexen.html), Dublin Core (http://dublincore.org/), IEEE LTSC LOM (http://ltsc.ieee.org/), and IMS (http://www imsproject.org/) are involved in developing standardized metadata schemas and best practice metadata standardization. Metadata standardization enhances attributes such as discoverability, accessibility, manageability, and interoperability of
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learning objects. These attributes promote usability. The panel will attempt to delineate pros and cons of various metadata standardizations.

**Learning Object – Instructional Design**

A learning object is made of the content and the metadata. The content can be text, image, audio, video, and/or animation. The metadata is the information about the characteristics of the content. Downes (2003) asserts that a learning object is a learning object when it used for learning and that it has pedagogical value.

A learning object’s educational value rests within the content that is created with appropriate and sound instructional design (Koohang & du Plessis, 2005). The panel will attempt to present the value of instructional design for the content of learning objects. The panel will also present various instructional design theories and principles suitable for learning objects.

**Learning Object Repositories**

Repositories are used to store learning objects. There are two types of repositories for learning objects. The first type contains both the learning object content and the metadata. This repository locates and delivers learning objects. The second type consists of only the metadata. The actual learning object content is located at another place. This repository locates learning objects. There are many learning object repositories build specifically for use in higher education. Examples of these repositories include Apple Learning Interchange (http://ali.apple.com/ali/resources.shtml), CAREO (http://careo.netera.ca), Educational Object Economy (http://www.eoe.org), Educational Software Components of Tomorrow (http://www.escot.org), Filamentality (http://www.kn.pacbell.com/wired/fil), Gateway to Educational Materials (http://www.thegateway.org), MERLOT (http://www.merlot.org/Home.po), OpenCourseWare - MIT (http://ocw.mit.edu/index.html), and Wisconsin Online Resource Center (http://www.wisc-online.com). The panel will discuss these repositories and their applications for higher education institutions. The panel will also discuss pros and cons of these repositories.

**The Structure of the Panel**

The panel will consist of four themes in the area of learning objects: definitions, metadata, instructional design, and applications. Panelists will present one theme at a time. The audience will be invited to ask questions and contribute to the discussion.
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